Current status of the GRAPES-3 experiment at Ooty in India

S.K. Gupta^{*}, H.M. Antia^{*}, S.R. Dugad^{*}, U.D. Goswami^{*}, Y. Hayashi[†], N. Ito[†], A. Iyer^{*}, P. Jagadeesan^{*}, A. Jain^{*}, S. Kawakami[†], M. Minamino[†], P.K. Mohanty^{*}, S.D. Morris^{*}, P.K. Nayak^{*}, T. Nonaka[†], A. Oshima[†], B.S. Rao^{*}, K.C. Ravindran^{*}, H. Tanaka[†] and S.C. Tonwar^{*}

> *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India [†]Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

Abstract. The GRAPES-3 is a dense EAS array operating with \sim 400 scintillator detectors and a 560 m² tracking muon detector ($E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV), at Ooty in India. 25% of scintillators are instrumented with two fast PMTs for extended dynamic range of 5×10^3 particles m^{-2} . The scintillators, signal processing electronics and data recording systems were fabricated in-house to cut costs and optimize performance. The muon multiplicity is used to probe primary cosmic rays composition below 'knee', overlapping with direct measurements. Search for multi-TeV γ rays from point sources is done with aid of muon detector. An angular resolution of 0.7° at 30 TeV, is measured from shadow of Moon. Sensitive limit on diffuse γ -rays at 100 TeV is placed. Tracking muon detector allows sensitive measurements on coronal mass ejections and solar flares. We have major expansion plans to enhance sensitivity of GRAPES-3 experiment in the areas listed above.

Keywords: EAS, high-energy, experiment

I. INTRODUCTION

The GRAPES-3 (Gamma Ray Astronomy at PeV EnergieS- phase 3) is a high density extensive air shower (EAS) array designed for precision study of the cosmic ray (CR) energy spectrum and its nuclear composition using the muon multiplicity distribution (MMD) in the energy range from 3×10^{13} eV to 3×10^{16} eV [1]. The experiment was started with 256 plastic scintillator detectors (each 1 m² in area) deployed on a dense hexagonal pattern with an inter-detector separation of 8 m, at Ooty (2200 m altitude, 11.4°N, 76.7°E) in south India in 2001 as shown in Fig. 1 [2]. The array also contains a large area (560 m^2) tracking muon telescope [3] to measure the muon component and obtain the MMD of the EAS. The muon telescope has also provided new information on solar flares, coronal mass ejections and Forbush decrease (Fd) events [4], [5].

The occurrence of the '*knee*' in the CR energy spectrum around 3×10^{15} eV is believed to be intimately linked to the issue of CR origin. But even after several decades of study, a clear understanding of the origin of the '*knee*' is yet to emerge. The data obtained with higher sensitivity and less uncertainty in the estimate of primary energy and composition are expected to provide

80 60 40 20 С -20 -40-60 5()m -80 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80

Fig. 1. The GRAPES-3 array with 257 detectors filled triangles and 16 muon detector modules filled squares.

a better understanding of this important feature of the high energy astrophysics.

Solar flares accelerate charged particles through a variety of mechanisms, which may be constrained through observations at high energies (>10 GeV). We searched direct emission of $\gtrsim 20$ GeV protons in association with the solar flare of 28 October 2003, using the muon telescope. A 99% C.L. upper limit on proton flux was placed at 1.4×10^{-6} cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹, ~2 orders of magnitude lower than those reported earlier. New information on structure and time evolution of large Fd observed on 29 October 2003 from 9 different directions (each ~0.3 sr) showed a remarkably similar behavior, with an evolution on a time scale of ~1 h.

To obtain a large separation between PMT noise and signal due to charged particles, and a uniform spatial response over entire area of scintillator, new detectors with wave-length shifting (WLS) fibers are used to ensure, large signal, uniform response and a dynamic range of $\sim 5 \times 10^3$ particles m⁻². The detector response was compared with results of simulations to obtain comprehensive understanding of entire system [6].

Necessary amplifiers and discriminator circuits were developed and fabricated in-house with an accuracy of 100 ps in timing shower particles. The arrival time of individual detector signals are measured using time to digital converters (TDCs) developed in-house using TDC32 ASIC by CERN. A resolution of 500 ps with excellent linearity and dynamic range of 1 ms was achieved which matched the design capability of TDC32. Subsequently we have developed new 32 channel TDCs using the HPTDC ASIC from CERN, which allows a time resolution of 100 ps. The signal processing electronics for the muon detector is relatively simple because of the slower signals from the proportional counters. The entire data recording electronics for both the muon detector and the air shower array was also developed. This emphasis on in-house development has the following advantages, namely, (i) a significant reduction in cost, (ii) optimization and customization of the system according to the experimental requirements, (iii) fast repair in cases of failure, which is very important due to remote location of Ooty.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

In order to achieve the lowest possible energy threshold, a simple 3-line coincidence of detectors has been used to generate the Level-0 trigger, which acts as the fast GATE and START for the analog to digital converters (ADCs) and TDCs, respectively. As expected, this trigger selects a large number of very small and local showers and also larger showers whose cores land very far from the area covered by the array. Therefore, it is also required that at least 10 out of the inner 127 detectors should have triggered their discriminators within 1 μ s of the Level-0 trigger. This Level-1 trigger with an observed EAS rate of 13 Hz is used to record the charge (ADC) and the arrival time (TDC) of the pulses from each detector [2]. With the expansion of the array the trigger rate has increased to 30 Hz. The pulse charge is later converted into equivalent number of minimumionizing particles (MIPS) using the most probable charge for a single MIP measured. The high sensitivity of scintillator detectors allows the atmospheric radon decay products to be routinely detected during every episode of rain in Ooty.

Fig. 1 also shows 16 squares in lower left side. Each square represents a 4-layer tracking muon telescope module with an energy threshold of 1 GeV for vertical muons. Each layer consists of 58 proportional counters, each 6 m long with 10×10 cm² cross-sectional area. The 560 m² GRAPES-3 muon telescope consists of 4 super-modules, each in turn having 4 modules. The muon energy threshold of 1 GeV is achieved by placing a concrete of thickness ~550 g.cm⁻².

For improving the angular resolution of GRAPES-3, it was necessary to decrease inter-detector separation in the array. This approach provides an excellent compromise between a small area carpet array and a very large area sparsely instrumented array. However, the economic constraints limit the density of detectors employed in an EAS array. In Tibet $AS\gamma$ [7], CASA [8], EAS-TOP [9], KASCADE [10] and GAMMA [11] arrays, the area covered by the detectors is $\leq 1\%$ of the physical area over which the array is spread. The density of detectors



Fig. 2. Observed muon multiplicity as histogram in black for, (a) $10^{4.4} \leq N_e \leq 10^{4.6}$, (b) $10^{5.0} \leq N_e \leq 10^{5.2}$ for 5 component based SIBYLL generator.

is a key factor that determines the measurement accuracy of parameters, such as the shower size 'N_e' and arrival direction. The GRAPES-3 array is designed to have a dense configuration with a detector coverage of $\sim 2\%$ of the total area of the array [2].

The angular resolution of GRAPES-3 has been measured by analyzing EAS data collected in 4 years and by using 3 different methods, namely, even-odd, leftright and the shadow of Moon. In the first method the array is divided into two sub-arrays of even and odd numbered detectors, in the second method the concept of left and right half-arrays with cone-shaped shower front is used to estimate energy dependent angular resolution of array. We measured a resolution of 0.5° for left-right case which is marginally larger than 0.4° for even-odd method for EAS of ≥ 80 TeV. Reduction in isotropic flux of CRs due to the shadow of Moon yields a resolution of 0.5° at ≥ 80 TeV [12].

III. GRAPES-3 RESULTS

In the following we summarize the results obtained from the GRAPES-3 experiment on the primary composition, diffuse γ -ray emission, and on solar flares.

A. Primary Composition

We have analyzed 6×10^8 EAS collected over a livetime of 5×10^7 s, for shower size N_e, core location (X_0, Y_0) , age 's' by fitting NKG function [13] to the lateral distribution. The EAS core is restricted to 30 m from the centre of array and condition $\theta < 45^{\circ}$ is imposed. The MMD is obtained for different N_e groups in intervals of 0.2 in $\log_{10}(N_e)$. A further cut on the distance of the EAS core, to lie between 60 to 80 m, from the centre of muon detector is imposed, as shown by the shaded area in Fig. 1. Monte Carlo simulations of EAS are carried out using CORSIKA code [14] for 5 nuclei, namely, H, He, N, Al, and Fe. These simulations show that an efficiency of $\sim 90\%$ is reached at 50 TeV for the H and at 100 TeV for the Fe primaries [2]. The hadronic interactions in the simulations are described by SIBYLL [15] and QGSJET [16] generators.



Fig. 3. H, He, CNO, Al, Fe spectra from direct measurements filled triangles Ryan [18], inverted triangles SOKOL [19], diamonds JACEE [21], star RUNJOB [22], and GRAPES-3 filled circle SIBYLL, square QGSJET.

The MMD is also simulated for each of the 5 components using SIBYLL and QGSJET generators for the same range of N_e as the data. The contribution of each nuclear group is extracted by using a minimization technique described in [17]. The observed MMD is shown in Fig. 2a, as black histogram for shower size range, $10^{4.4} \le N_e \le 10^{4.6}$. Also shown in Fig. 2a are contribution of 5 components, namely, p, He, N, Al, Fe, simulated using SIBYLL. Fig. 2b shows the corresponding data and simulation results for size range, $10^{5.0} \le N_e \le 10^{5.2}$. The simulations are used to convert the size into primary energy of CRs. The 5 component composition extracted from MMD distributions is shown in Fig. 3 for QGSJET (fi lled squares) and SIBYLL (fi lled circles) generators. These energy spectra are compared



Fig. 4. Diffuse γ -ray flux upper limits

with the results from the direct observations also shown in Fig. 3. H and He data are taken from [18], [19], [21], [22], and CNO, Al, Fe data from [19], [20], [22]. The GRAPES-3 data agree better with the direct measurements when SIBYLL generator is used, indicating that SIBYLL provides a good description of the hadronic interactions below 1 PeV.

B. Diffuse γ -ray limits

Diffuse flux of ~100 TeV γ -rays is produced from a variety of astrophysical sources, including the interactions of extremely high energy CRs with 2.7°K cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation. These interactions transfer energy of CRs into γ -rays of progressively lower energy that in turn produce e⁺ e⁺ pairs in CMB field until center of mass energy drops below pair production threshold which occurs ultra-high energies (UHE, $E_{\gamma} \lesssim 10^{14} \text{eV}=100 \text{ TeV}$) and then the universe becomes relatively transparent to the propagation of UHE γ -rays. Thus a pileup of γ -rays at ~100 TeV is expected. More exotic scenarios such as collapse of topological defects created in the early universe could also result in copious production of 100 TeV γ -rays.

Several groups in recent times have placed stringent upper limits on diffuse γ -ray flux in the several tens of TeV to PeV (10¹⁵eV) energy region which seem to question validity of certain models of production of highest energy particles. We have searched for diffuse γ -rays using the GRAPES-3 large area (560 m²) muon telescope ($E_{\mu} \ge 1$ GeV). EAS with cores incident within the array and unassociated with penetrating tracks in the muon telescope, classifi ed as μ -poor, are considered as candidate γ -ray events. The μ -poor cut was devised with the aid of simulations of CR and γ initiated EAS. In Fig. 4 the limits on the ratio I_{γ}/I_p of the intensities of γ -rays and CRs, respectively, are shown as a function of the primary energy. Also shown are the results reported by other groups [23], [24], [25], [26].



Fig. 5. Integral protons flux data on 28 Oct 2003; (a) 100-600 MeV GOES-10/11 and sub-GeV balloon, (b) NM, (c) square >20 GeV GRAPES-3 limit, (d) triangle >10 GeV AGASA 4 Jun 1991, (e) inverted triangle >40 GeV L3 limit 14 Jul 2000, (f) Star >500 GeV Baksan limit on 29 Sep 1989, (g) filled circle NM 15 Apr 2001, (h) Diamond NM 23 Feb 1956.

C. Solar flare

We had searched for direct emission of protons of energy ≥ 20 GeV in association with an X17 class solar flare on 28 October 2003. The tracking capability of the muon telescope allowed the field of view to be segmented into 9 bins, (labeled NE, E, SE, N, V, S, NW, W, SW; N north, E east, W west, S south and V vertical direction) each covers a solid angle ~ 0.3 sr. The large muon rate of 3×10^6 min⁻¹ permits a high precision study of various solar phenomena. Since detected >1 GeV muons are secondaries of primary protons of $\gtrsim 20$ GeV energy, we used these observations to place a 99% C.L. upper limit of 1.4×10^{-6} cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹ on the wide-angle (~ 2.5 sr) flux of solar protons. This limit is displayed in Fig. 5 along with results of other groups, including from neutron monitors (NMs) and GOES data. By using tracking feature of muon telescope limit of 4×10^{-6} cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹ is placed on proton emission along a narrow beam (~ 0.3 sr).

New information was obtained on the structure and time evolution of Fd observed on 29 October 2003 by GRAPES-3 [4]. Onset of Fd in 9 solid angle bins $(\sim 0.3 \text{ sr})$ shows a remarkably similar behavior, with an evolution on a time scale of ~ 1 h. Observed muon rates in intervals of 6 min for the 9 bins are shown in Fig. 6. There is a systematic variation in time, when a specific feature in muon rate appears in a given solid angle bin. To highlight this aspect, the data in Fig. 6 are displayed after a grouping in 3 sets labeled "East", "Center", and "West", respectively. The East set contains data from 3 eastern solid angle bins, namely, NE, E, and SE. Similarly Center set contains data from bins N, V, S, and fi nally West set contains NW, W, SW. For clarity, data shown in Fig. 6 for Center and West sets have been shifted downwards by 4% and 8%, relative to East set. The 3 triangles in Fig. 6, indicate time of Fd minimum for each set. A power law dependence of magnitude of



Variation in muon rate on 29 October 2003 from 9 solid Fig. 6. angle bins grouped into 3 sets; east (NE, E, SE), center (N, V, S), and west (NW, W, SW). Successive sets shifted downwards by 4% for clarity. Each pointer represents time of Fd minimum for the 3 sets, respectively.

Fd on the cutoff rigidity was observed from the muon data over the range 14.3-24.0 GV, and we precisely measured the spectral slope to be $\gamma = 0.53 \pm 0.04$.

IV. FUTURE PLANS

We propose to expand the GRAPES-3 array to detect CRs up to 10¹⁸eV with the addition of new widely spaced detectors. We would also double the area of the muon detector to increase sensitivity for detection of γ -rays. Addition of an imaging Čerenkov telescope to measure energy of primary CRs is also being contemplated. Setting up of a neutron monitor to supplement information from muon detector for solar studies is also being considered. Several new groups from within India have joined the collaboration and some from abroad are in the process of joining us.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.K. Gupta et al., Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 052005.
- [2] S.K. Gupta et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 540 (2005) 311.
- [3] Y. Hayashi et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 545 (2005) 643.
- [4] T. Nonaka et al., Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 052003.
- [5] P. Subramanian et al., Astron. & Astrophys. 494 (2009) 1107.
- [6] P.K. Mohanty et al., Astropart. Phys. 31 (2009) 24.
- [7] M. Amenomori et al., Nucl. Instr. Methods A 288 (1990) 619.
- A. Borione at al., Nucl. Instr. Methods A 346 (1994) 329; [8]
- M. Aglietta et al., Astropart. Phys. 3 (1995) 1. [9]
- [10] T. Antoni et al., Nucl. Instr. Methods A 513 (2003) 490.
- [11] Y.A. Gallant et al., Proc. 29th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. Pune 4 (2005) 85
- [12] A. Oshima et al., Submitted to Astropart. Phys. (2009).
- [13] K. Greisen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 10 (1960) 63.
- [14] D. Heck et al., Report, FZKA 6019, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe (1998).
- [15] R.S. Fletcher et al., Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 5710.
- [16] N.N. Kalmykov et al., Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 52 (1997) 17.
- H. Tanaka et al., GRAPES-3 Preprint (2006). [17]
- [18] M.J. Ryan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 (1972) 985.
- [19] I.P. Ivanenko et al., Proc. 23rd ICRC (Calgary) 2 (1993) 17.
- [20] K. Kobayakawa et al., Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 083004.
- [21] K. Asakimori et al., Astrophys. J. 628 (2005) L41.
- [22] V.A. Derbina et al., Astrophys. J. 502 (1998) 278.
- [23] J. Mathews et al., Astrophys. J. 375 (1991) 202.
- [24]
- A. Karle et al., Phys. Lett. B 347 (1995) 161.
- [25] M. Aglietta et al., Astroparticle Phys. 6 (1996) 71.
- [26] M.C. Chantell et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 1805.